

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Is there any difference in *Lactobacillus* abundance between age groups during ovarian stimulation in fresh *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) cycles?

Haris Zukić<sup>1\*</sup>, Devleta Balić<sup>2</sup>, Džanan Osmanović<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinic for Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Clinical Centre Tuzla, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Human Reproduction "Dr. Balić", Tuzla; Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

#### ABSTRACT

Aim To investigate an influence of age and body mass index (BMI) as determinants of infertility treatment success *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) procedures confirmed so far, on the amount of *Lactobacilus* spp. (LS) and *Gardnerella vaginalis* (GV) in the endometrium in fresh IVF cycles at the time of embryo transfer.

**Methods** This a prospective single-centre study included patients who underwent fresh embryo transfer (ET) in a private IVF Centre. A catheter tip for embryo transfer was used for collecting samples from the endometrial cavity. Real time polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR) was used to determine LS and GV quantity. Patients were divided into two age groups: <35 years and  $\geq$ 35 years. Normal BMI group included patients with 18.-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and the increased BMI group patients with BMI  $\geq$  25kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

**Results** Tips for embryo transfer catheters were positive on LS in 55 (96.4%) patients. The median of quantification cycle of RT-PCR (Cq RT-PCR) for LS was 33, and 31 for the younger and older groups, respectively. Twenty-one (36.8%) patients tested positive for GV. The median of Cq RT-PCR for GV was 33 and 34 for the younger and older groups, respectively. There were 36 patients with normal and 18 with increased BMI. BMI showed no correlation with LS amount in the endometrial cavity.

**Conclusion** Aging affects the endometrial microbiome resulting in an increase of *Lactobacillus* number, but not the number of GV.

**Keywords:** assisted reproductive technologies, endometrium, human reproduction, reproductive tract bacteria

# **INTRODUCTION**

The latest scientific reports suggest endometrial microbiome has a unique bacterial composition that may play an important role in reproduction and pathological conditions (1–4). Different bacterial compositions are found in anatomical niches despite the anatomical connection between the vagina and the endometrium (5). The composition of the female genital tract microbiota is influenced by numerous factors, including age, pH in

\* **Corresponding author:** Haris Zukić Clinic for Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Clinical Centre Tuzla, 75000 Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina Phone: +387 35 303 402 E-mail: haris.zukic@yahoo.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9722-3179 the vagina, hormonal secretions, the menstrual cycle, contraceptives, antibiotic use, and sexual activity (6,7). Lactobacillus spp. (LS), the dominant bacterium in the vagina of women during pregnancy (8,9), can bind to the surface of vaginal epithelial cells to prevent the attachment of other microorganisms in the vagina. It cannot only produce lactic acid by decomposing glycogen in the vagina to maintain a stable pH, but also kill intracellular microorganisms by inducing the autophagy of vaginal epithelial cells (10). The incidence of infertility has increased during past years, and it is widely recognized as a world health problem. One of seven couples cannot get pregnant despite having regular unprotected sex throughout a year (11). Maternal age, endometrial microbiome, and body mass index (BMI) are factors that can affect in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcomes.

<sup>338 |</sup> Submitted: 08.Jan. 2024. Revised: 02 Apr. 2024. Accepted: 23 May. 2024. This article is an open-access article licensed under CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

The endometrial microbiome is less explored than the vaginal one. Different studies reported the presence of LS and *Gardnerella vaginalis* (GV) in the endometrial cavity (2,11). The dominance of LS in the endometrial cavity has been associated with a higher pregnancy rate (12,13) and a lower one with miscarriage rate (14). GV is more abundant in patients with chronic endometritis (15), but GV did not adversely affect the implantation process (16).

Overweight and obesity are more and more common in infertile couples (17). Pre-pregnancy BMI is a potentially modifiable and preventable lifestyle-related factor associated with neonatal outcomes (18).

A significant number of studies explored the endometrial microbiome in IVF cycles, and they focused on identifying all bacteria in this anatomical environment, as well as all species of *Lactobacillus* (2,5). The question arises as to whether the presence or quantity of bacteria plays a vital role in the function of the endometrium and whether other factors such as ovarian stimulation, body mass index, age of life and others could affect the microbiome, not only its diversity but also the abundance of bacteria in the endometrial cavity (2,5,19,20).

To our knowledge, this is the first study in the Balkan region to explore the role of *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Gardnerella vaginalis* in the endometrial cavity in IVF cycles. It is also one of the rare studies that compare BMI and age with the amount of bacteria in fresh IVF cycles.

The aim of this study was to investigate an influence of age and BMI as determinants of infertility treatment success *in vitro* fertilization procedures so far confirmed on the amount of LS and GV in the endometrium in fresh IVF cycles at the time of embryo transfer.

# PATIENTS AND METHODS

#### Patients and study design

This prospective single-centre study included 57 patients who underwent fresh embryo transfer (ET) in a private IVF Centre during the period February to December 2023. After the embryo transfer, the catheter tip was used for sample collection, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR) was used to determine the quantity of LS and GV.

The data for BMI value were collected from patients' medical history.

The patients were divided into two age groups: <35 years and  $\geq 35$  years.

BMI groups were formed according to the World Health Organization's recommendation (21). Due to the limited number of obese patients (BMI  $\ge$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) in our study, overweight (BMI  $\ge$ 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and obese patients were

combined into one group for statistical analysis. The "Normal BMI Group" includes patients with BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and the "Increased BMI Group" includes patients with BMI  $\ge 25$ kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

All patients gave their consent to participate in the study.

The study was approved by the Ethical Board of Institute for Human Reproduction "Dr. Balić" and research was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Methods

After placing the patient in the gynaecological position, the vaginal speculum was inserted. A double-lumen catheter was used for embryo transfer (Guardia™ Access Embryo Transfer Catheter, Cook Incorporates, USA). The outer catheter was placed through the cervical canal. Then, the inner catheter was placed through the outer catheter in the endometrial cavity. Each embryo transfer was performed under ultrasound control. Embryo transfer was performed on the third or the fifth day of embryo growth. After the embryo transfer was completed, every catheter was checked for retention of embryos. The tip of the catheter was immersed in an ASAN transport medium (ASAN Pharm. Co. LTD., South Korea). Maintaining the optimal temperature as recommended by the manufacturer, the media were transported to the laboratory for sample analysis.

QIAamp DNA Mini Kit was used for DNA isolation (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA isolation was performed manually as recommended by the manufacturer. Real-Time PCR Kit for quantitative detection of LS and GV (Sacace Biotechnologies S.r.l., Como, Italy) was used for the detection of LS, and it was done on the apparatus (Applied Byosistem 7500, Foster City, California, USA). Forty cycles of amplification were done and the quantification cycle (Cq) of RT PCR (RT-PCR) was determined. Cq RT-PCR are inversed to the amount of target nucleic acid that is in the sample. Lower Cq RT-PCR indicate high amounts of the target sequence and higher Cq RT-PCR mean lower amounts of target nucleic acid. The target region was 16S rRNA.

#### Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, Mann-Whitney U, Pearson correlation coefficient, and regression analysis were used. Significance was set at p<0.05.

### RESULTS

Embryo transfer of fresh embryos was performed in 57 patients (Table 1); clinical pregnancy was confirmed among the 17 (29.8%) women.

The tips for embryo transfer catheters were positive on LS in 55 (96.4%) patients (Table 2). The median of CqRT-PCR for LS was 33 and 31 for the younger and

| Variable                         | Total                         | <35 years       | ≥35 years     |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| No (%) of patients (years)       | 57                            | 30 (52.6)       | 27 (47.4)     |  |
| <u> </u>                         | Arithmetic mean ±SD (minmax.) |                 |               |  |
|                                  | 34.5±5.9 (19-                 | 29.8±3.1 (19-   | 39.7±3.0 (36- |  |
| Age (years)                      | 45)                           | 34)             | 45)           |  |
| <b>BMI</b> (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )  | $24.4 \pm 4.1$                | 24.6±3.1 (19.4- | 24.29±5.0     |  |
|                                  | (16.9-41.1)                   | 34.4)           | (16.9±41.1)   |  |
|                                  | No (%) of patients            |                 |               |  |
| <b>BM</b> I (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) |                               |                 |               |  |
| ≤18.5                            | 1 (1.8)                       | 0               | 1 (3.7)       |  |
| 18.5-24.9                        | 38 (66.7)                     | 20 (66.7)       | 18 (66.7)     |  |
| 25.0-29.9                        | 13 (22.8)                     | 8 (26.7)        | 5 (18.5)      |  |
| ≥30.0                            | 5 (8.8)                       | 2 (6.7)         | 3 (11.1)      |  |
| Previous birth                   |                               |                 |               |  |
| No                               | 53 (93)                       | 29 (96.7)       | 24 (88.9)     |  |
| Yes                              | 4 (7)                         | 1 (3.3)         | 3 (11.1)      |  |
| Cause of infertility             |                               |                 |               |  |
| Tubal factor                     | 5 (8.8)                       | 3 (10)          | 2 (7.4)       |  |
| PCOS                             | 9 (15.8)                      | 6 (20)          | 3 (11.1)      |  |
| Male factor                      | 20 (35.1)                     | 11 (36.7)       | 9 (33.3)      |  |
| Other cause                      | 23 (40.4)                     | 10 (33.3)       | 13 (48.1)     |  |
| Day of embryo transfer           |                               |                 |               |  |
| Day 3                            | 34 (59.6)                     | 13 (43.3)       | 21 (77.8)     |  |
| Day 5                            | 23 (40.4)                     | 17 (56.7)       | 6 (22.2)      |  |
| Number of transferred embryos    |                               |                 |               |  |
| 1                                | 28 (49.1)                     | 21 (30)         | 7 (25.9)      |  |
| 2                                | 29 (50.9)                     | 9 (70)          | 20 (25.9)     |  |

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients

SD, standard deviation PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome

older age groups, respectively. The quantity of LS increased with age, and the amount of LS was significantly higher in the older group (p=0.048) (Table 2).

Twenty-one (36.8%) patients tested positive for GV. The median of Cq RT-PCR for GV was 33 and 34 for the younger and older groups, respectively (p=0.275) (Table 2).

One patient had a BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and she was excluded from statistical analysis. There were 36 patients with normal and 18 with increased BMI. There was no statistical difference in LS quantity and BMI (p=0.593).

The LS quantity showed no statistically significant difference by age (p=0.135) and BMI (p=0.405).

#### DISCUSSION

Initial scientific reports about the endometrial cavity as a sterile anatomical niche have been abandoned for a long time, and it has been demonstrated that the endometrial microbiome is a unique ecosystem composed of different bacteria (2,3). The LS are considered the most abundant bacteria in the endometrial cavity (3,5). A few studies explored the mechanism wherein LS could influence endometrial receptivity (22,23).

Aim of this study was to investigate an influence of age and BMI on the amount of LS and GV in the endometrium in fresh IVF cycles at the time of embryo transfer.

 Table 2. Amount of Lactobacillus spp. and Gardnerella vaginalis in the endometrial cavity in fresh in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles

| Bacteria (No of positive samples) | Cq RT PCR in age groups |                     |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------|
|                                   | <35 years               | $\geq$ 35 years     | р     |
|                                   | Median<br>(min-max)     | Median<br>(min-max) |       |
|                                   | (No of patients)        | (No of patients)    |       |
| Lactobacillus spp. (55/57)        | 33 (30-37) (28)         | 31 (28-36) (27)     | 0.048 |
| Gardnerella vaginalis (21/57)     | 33 (32-38) (10)         | 34 (31-36) (11)     | 0.275 |

Cq RT PCR quantification cycle in real-time polymerase chain reaction

An endometrium is primarily colonized with LS, then with *Gardnerella* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., *Streptococcus* spp. and *Prevotela* spp. (24). In 96.7% of our patients LS was found in the endometrium, which correlates with the results of other research (92%) (24). Some authors reported LS were presented in more than 80% of patients (2).

An important factor for the success of the IVF procedure is maternal age (25). The percentage of women deferring childbearing until their late 30s and early 40s has greatly increased globally. Reportedly, older age was accompanied by a decrease in Lactobacillus- dominant microbiota (20). Surprisingly, in our study, using the transfer of fresh embryos, the amount of LS in the endometrium increased in older age. The abundance of the LS in the vaginal microbiota depends on a hormone level (26). Thus, an increase of estrogen level in the pregnancy affects the amount of the LS (27,28); supraphysiological serum levels of estradiol during controlled ovarian stimulation could affect different outcome. An increased abundance of lactate-producing Lactobacillus can create an acidic environment that can affect implantation (22). Historically, lactate has been perceived as an end point of glucose metabolism, but today lactic acid is considered as a new signalling molecule in early pregnancy that could play a role in trophoblast invasion and angiogenesis (23). Lactate may be considered as a component of a feedback loop where blastocyst-derived lactate promotes transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor in the surrounding tissue, which in turn has a direct effect on both uterine remodelling, immune regulation, and survival and blastocyst function (23). In summary, a lower pH number could interfere blastocyst to produce lactate, which could cause implantation failure. Endometrial polyps reduce pH in the endometrial cavity which could be one of the non-mechanical causes of subfertility (29).

The increase in the abundance of LS may represent a physiological phenomenon that positively modulates endometrial receptivity, which is certainly reduced due to older age. *Lactobacillus* domination in endometrial cavity has been linked with better implantation and pregnancy rate (24). The other study found no benefit from *Lactobacillus* dominance in the endometrial cavity (30). Previous studies did not investigate the number of bacteria; we used RT PCR to measure the quantity of bacteria. The composition and function of the endometrial microbiome will be a research subject in the next period, and further research is needed to resolve these scientific questions.

GV was the second most common bacteria in the endometrial cavity (5). *Gardnerella vaginalis* was present in patients with reproductive failure (31). Every third patient in our study was positive for GV in the endometrium; the amount of GV was higher in the younger age group (no statistically significant). Some studies found that obese women are more likely to have adverse vaginal microbiomes; less likely to have *Lactobacillus*-dominance, and more likely to have microbiome diversity and bacterial vaginosis (32). Observing the two BMI groups in our study, a significant correlation with LS quantity was not found.

The limitation of this study is the sample size, especially in the patients with positive GV. A larger sample should be tested to determine the stability of GV in the endometrial cavity.

The advantage of this research is that we used the quantity value of LS and GV in the endometrial cavity.

In conclusion, every IVF procedure aims to make a livebirth baby. The mother's age is a proven factor affecting the IVF outcome, and younger mothers have better outcomes. The microbiome's composition, bacterial diversity, and the number of some bacteria could modify the success of the IVF procedure. We concluded that the Lactobacillus number in the endometrium shows dynamics over time, and that older patients have a significantly higher number of LS in the endometrium. Considering the benefits provided by LS in the vagina and gut, we think that an increase of LS abundance in older age could represent a positive compensatory mechanism for better reproductive outcomes despite reduced receptivity, probably through lactic acid production, and this could also be the reason for decreasing the number of Gardnerella vaginalis in older patients.

## FUNDING

No specific funding was received for this study

# TRANSPARENCY DECLARATION

Conflict of interests: None to declare.

#### REFERENCES

- Inversetti A, Zambella E, Guarano A, Dell'Avanzo M, Di Simone N. Endometrial Microbiota and Immune Tolerance in Pregnancy. Int J Mol Sci 2023; 24;(3):2995. doi: 10.3390/ijms24032995.
- 2 Reschini M, Benaglia L, Ceriotti F, Borroni R, Ferrari S, Castiglioni M, et al. Endometrial microbiome: sampling, assessment, and possible impact on embryo implantation. Sci Rep 2022;12;(1):8467. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-12095-7.
- 3 Franasiak JM, Werner MD, Juneau CR, Tao X, Landis J, Zhan Y, et al. Endometrial microbiome at the time of embryo transfer: next-generation sequencing of the 16S ribosomal subunit. J Assist Reprod Genet 2016;33;(1):129–36. doi: 10.1007/ s10815-015-0614-z.
- 4 Medina-Bastidas D, Camacho-Arroyo I, García-Gómez E. Current findings in endometrial microbiome: impact on uterine diseases. Reprod Camb

Engl 2022;163;(5):R81–96. doi: 10.1530/REP-21-0120.

- 5 Carosso A, Revelli A, Gennarelli G, Canosa S, Cosma S, Borella F, et al. Controlled ovarian stimulation and progesterone supplementation affect vaginal and endometrial microbiota in IVF cycles: a pilot study. J Assist Reprod Genet 2020;37;(9): 2315–26. doi: 10.1007/s10815-020-01878-4.
- 6 Prince AL, Chu DM, Seferovic MD, Antony KM, Ma J, Aagaard KM. The perinatal microbiome and pregnancy: moving beyond the vaginal microbiome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2015;5;(6):a023051. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a023 051.
- 7 Nasioudis D, Forney LJ, Schneider GM, Gliniewicz K, France M, Boester A, et al. Influence of Pregnancy History on the Vaginal Microbiome of Pregnant Women in their First Trimester. Sci Rep 2017;7;(1):10201. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09857-z.
- 8 You Y-A, Park S, Kim K, Kwon EJ, Hur YM, Kim SM, et al. Transition in vaginal Lactobacillus species during pregnancy and prediction of preterm birth in Korean women. Sci Rep 2022;12;(1): 22303. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-26058-5.
- 9 You Y-A, Kwon EJ, Choi S-J, Hwang H-S, Choi S-K, Lee SM, et al. Vaginal microbiome profiles of pregnant women in Korea using a 16S metagenomics approach. Am J Reprod Immunol N Y N 1989 2019;82;(1):e13124. doi: 10.1111/aji.13124.
- 10 Witkin SS, Linhares IM. Why do lactobacilli dominate the human vaginal microbiota? BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol 2017;124;(4):606–11. doi: 10.11 11/1471-0528.14390.
- 11 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health (UK). Fertility: Assessment and Treatment for People with Fertility Problems. London: Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists; 2013.
- 12 Mitchell CM, Haick A, Nkwopara E, Garcia R, Rendi M, Agnew K, et al. Colonization of the upper genital tract by vaginal bacterial species in nonpregnant women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;212;(5):611.e1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014. 11.043.
- 13 Vajpeyee M, Tiwari S, Yadav LB, Tank P. Assessment of bacterial diversity associated with assisted reproductive technologies through next-generation sequencing. Middle East Fertil Soc J 2022;27;(1). doi: 10.1186/s43043-022-00117-3.
- 14 Moreno I, Garcia-Grau I, Bau D, Perez-Villaroya D, Gonzalez-Monfort M, Vilella F, et al. The first glimpse of the endometrial microbiota in early pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2020;222;(4): 296–305. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.01.031.
- 15 Liu Y, Ko EY-L, Wong KK-W, Chen X, Cheung W-C, Law TS-M, et al. Endometrial microbiota in infertile women with and without chronic endometritis as diagnosed using a quantitative and reference range-based method. Fertil Steril

2019;112;(4):707-717.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert .2019.05.015.

- 16 Keburiya LK, Smolnikova VY, Priputnevich TV, Muravieva VV, Gordeev AB, Trofimov DY, et al. Does the uterine microbiota affect the reproductive outcomes in women with recurrent implantation failures? BMC Womens Health 2022;22;(1):168. doi: 10.1186/s12905-022-01750-w.
- 17 Ahmad R, Haque M. Obesity: A Doorway to a Molecular Path Leading to Infertility. Cureus 2022;14;(10):e30770. doi: 10.7759/cureus.30770.
- 18 Liu P, Xu L, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Du Y, Sun Y, et al. Association between perinatal outcomes and maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index. Obes Rev Off J Int Assoc Study Obes 2016;17;(11):1091– 102. doi: 10.1111/obr.12455.
- 19 Wang J, Li Z, Ma X, Du L, Jia Z, Cui X, et al. Translocation of vaginal microbiota is involved in impairment and protection of uterine health. Nat Commun 2021;12;(1):4191. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24516-8.
- 20 Fujii S, Oguchi T. Age- and endometrial microbiota-related delay in development of endometrial receptivity. Reprod Med Biol 2023;22;(1):e12523. doi: 10.1002/rmb2.12523.
- 21 World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organization; 2000.
- 22 Gurner KH, Evans J, Hutchison JC, Harvey AJ, Gardner DK. A microenvironment of high lactate and low pH created by the blastocyst promotes endometrial receptivity and implantation. Reprod Biomed Online 2022;44;(1):14–26. doi: 10.1016/ j.rbmo.2021.09.012.
- 23 Gardner DK. Lactate production by the mammalian blastocyst: manipulating the microenvironment for uterine implantation and invasion? BioEssays News Rev Mol Cell Dev Biol 2015;37;(4):364–71. doi: 10.1002/bies.201400155.
- 24 Moreno I, Codoñer FM, Vilella F, Valbuena D, Martinez-Blanch JF, Jimenez-Almazán J, et al. Evidence that the endometrial microbiota has an effect on implantation success or failure. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215;(6):684–703. doi: 10.1016/ j.ajog.2016.09.075.
- 25 Nelson SM, Lawlor DA. Predicting live birth, preterm delivery, and low birth weight in infants born from in vitro fertilisation: a prospective study of 144,018 treatment cycles. PLoS Med 2011;8;(1):e1000386. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed. 1000386.
- 26 Hay P. Life in the littoral zone: lactobacilli losing the plot. Sex Transm Infect 2005;81;(2):100–2. doi: 10.1136/sti.2003.007161.
- 27 Pacha-Herrera D, Vasco G, Cruz-Betancourt C, Galarza JM, Barragán V, Machado A. Vaginal Microbiota Evaluation and Lactobacilli Quantification by qPCR in Pregnant and Non-pregnant Women: A

Pilot Study. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2020;10:303. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.00303.

- 28 Taddei CR, Cortez RV, Mattar R, Torloni MR, Daher S. Microbiome in normal and pathological pregnancies: A literature overview. Am J Reprod Immunol N Y N 1989 2018;80;(2):e12993. doi: 10.1111/aji.12993.
- 29 Ozyurt R, Turktekin N. Endometrial polyps prevent embryo implantation via creatine and lactate pathways. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2022;26;(9): 3278–81. doi: 10.26355/eurrev 202205 28746.
- 30 Kyono K, Hashimoto T, Kikuchi S, Nagai Y, Sakuraba Y. A pilot study and case reports on endometrial microbiota and pregnancy outcome: An analysis using 16S rRNA gene sequencing among

IVF patients, and trial therapeutic intervention for dysbiotic endometrium. Reprod Med Biol 2019;18;(1):72–82. doi: 10.1002/rmb2.12250.

- 31 Moreno I, Garcia-Grau I, Perez-Villaroya D, Gonzalez-Monfort M, Bahçeci M, Barrionuevo MJ, et al. Endometrial microbiota composition is associated with reproductive outcome in infertile patients. Microbiome 2022;10;(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s4 0168-021-01184-w.
- 32 Garg A, Ellis LB, Love RL, Grewal K, Bowden S, Bennett PR, et al. Vaginal microbiome in obesity and its impact on reproduction. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2023;90:102365. doi: 10.1016/ j.bpobgyn.2023.102365.

Publisher's Note Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations